arXiv:1005.3085v1 [math.OC] 18 May 2010

# A maximum principle for controlled time-symmetric forward-backward stochastic differential equations with initial-terminal sate constraints and its applications

**Shaolin Ji, Xiumin Zhang**

(School of Mathematics and Systems Science,

Shandong University, Jinan 250100,China.

Email: jsl@sdu.edu.cn; zhangxiumin0501@yahoo.cn)

**Abstract**: In this paper, we study the optimal control problems of controlled time-symmetric forward-backward stochastic differential equations with initial-terminal sate constraints. Applying the terminal perturbation method and Ekeland's variation principle, a necessary condition of the stochastic optimal control i.e. stochastic maximum principle is derived. Applications to backward doubly stochastic linear-quadratic control models as well as other specific models are investigated.

**Keywords**: Time-symmetric forward-backward stochastic differential equations, Ekeland's variation principle, State constraints, Stochastic maximum principle.

## 1. Introduction

It is well known that a general coupled forward-backward stochastic differential equations (FBSDEs in short) consists of a forward SDE of Itô type and a backward SDE of Pardoux-Peng's (for detail see [5], [16]). Since Antonelli [1] first studied FBSDEs in early 1990s, FBSDEs have been deeply studied in many papers (see [8], [13-14], [19] for details).

In order to produce a probabilistic representation of certain quasilinear stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs), Pardoux and Peng [17] first introduced a class of stochastic differential equations, i.e. backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDEs for short) and proved the existence and uniqueness theorem of BDSDEs. Using such BDSDEs they proved the existence and uniqueness theorem of those quasilinear SPDEs and thus significantly extended the famous Feynman-Kac formula for such SPDEs.

Connecting the theory of FBSDEs and BDSDEs, Peng and Shi [18] studied the following time-symmetric forward-backward stochastic differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} -dx_t &= F(t, x_t, z_t, y_t, q_t, u_t)dt + G(t, x_t, z_t, y_t, q_t, u_t)dW_t - z_t dB_t, 0 \le t \le T, \\ x_0 &= \xi, \\ -dy_t &= f(t, x_t, z_t, y_t, q_t, u_t)dt + g(t, x_t, z_t, y_t, q_t, u_t)dB_t - q_t dW_t, 0 \le t \le T, \\ y_T &= \eta. \end{cases}$$

Here the forward equation is "forward" with respect to a standard stochastic integral $dW_t$, as well as "backward" with respect to a backward stochastic integral $dB_t$; the coupled "backward equation" is "forward" under the backward stochastic integral $dB_t$ and "backward" under the forward one, which generalized the general FBSDEs. In other wards, both the forward equation and the backward one are BDSDEs with different directions of stochastic integral. Under certain monotonicity conditions, they proved the uniqueness and existence theorem for these equations.

In this paper, we study a stochastic optimal control problem with initial-terminal state constraints where the controlled system is described by the above time-symmetric forward–backward stochastic differential equations. We suppose that the initial state $\xi$ and the terminal state $\eta$ fall in two convex sets respectively and the corresponding states $x_T^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))}$ and $y_0^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))}$ satisfy the constraints $E(\psi(x_T^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))})) = a$ and $E(h(y_0^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))})) = b$ respectively. Then we minimize the following cost function:

$$J(\xi, \eta, u(\cdot)) \triangleq E[\int_0^T l(x(t), z(t), y(t), q(t), u(t), t)dt + \chi(\xi) + \lambda(\eta) + \phi(x(T)) + \gamma(y(0))].$$

It is well known that the maximum principle is an important approach to study optimal control problems. The systematic account on this theory can be found in [2] and [22]. When the controlled system under consideration is assumed to be with state constraints, especially with sample-wise constraints, the corresponding stochastic optimal control problems are difficult to solve. A sample-wise constraint requires that the state be in a given set with probability 1; for example, a nonnegativity constraint on the wealth process, i.e., bankruptcy prohibition in financial markets. In order to deal with such optimal control problems, an approach named "terminal perturbation method" was introduced and applied in financial optimization problems recently (see [9-12]). This method is based on the dual method or martingale method introduced by Bieleckiet in [3] and El Karoui, Peng and Quenez in [6]. It mainly applies Ekeland's variational principle to tackle the state constraints and derive a stochastic maximum principle which characterizes the optimal solution. For other works about the optimization problem with state constraints, the readers may refer to [20-21]. In this paper, a stochastic maximum principle is obtained for the controlled time-symmetric FBSDEs with initial-terminal state constraints by using Ekeland's variational principle. Different from [7], our controlled system is time-symmetric forward–backward stochastic differential equations and sample-wise initial and terminal state constraints are considered.

We give three specific cases to illustrate the applications of our obtained results. In the first case, the controlled system equations are composed of a normal Forward SDE and a Backward doubly SDE. We only consider one Backward doubly SDE as our system equation in the second case. Finally we study the backward doubly stochastic linear-quadratic (LQ) problems.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, applying Ekeland's variation principle we obtain a stochastic maximum principle of this controlled time-symmetric forward-backward stochastic differential equations with initial-terminal state constraints. Some applications are given in the last section.

## 2. The main problem

### § 2.1 Preliminaries

Let us first recall the existence and uniqueness results of the backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDEs) which was introduced by Pardoux and Peng [17], and an extension of the well known Itô's formula which would be often used in this paper.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ be a probability space, and $T > 0$ be fixed throughout this paper. Let $\{\mathbf{W}_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\{\mathbf{B}_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be two mutually independent standard Brownian motion processes, with values respectively in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and in $\mathbb{R}^l$ , defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$. Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the class of P-null set of $\mathcal{F}$. For each $t \in [0, T]$, we define: $\mathcal{F}_t \triangleq \mathcal{F}_t^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B$, Where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_t^W &= \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^W = \sigma\{W_r - W_0; 0 \leq r \leq t\} \vee \mathbb{N},\\ \mathcal{F}_t^B &= \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^B = \sigma\{B_r - B_t; t \leq r \leq T\} \vee \mathbb{N}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the collection $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ is neither increasing nor decreasing, and it does not constitute a filtration.

For any Euclidean space H, we denote by $< \cdot, \cdot >$ the scale product of H. The Euclidean norm of a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$ will be denoted by $|y|$, and for a $d \times n$ matrix A, we define $||A|| = \sqrt{Tr(AA^*)}$.

For any $n \in N$, let $M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the set of (classes of $dP \times dt$) a.e. equal) $n$-dimensional jointly measurable random processes $\{\varphi_t; t \in [0, T]\}$ which satisfy:

(i)$E \int_0^T |\varphi_t|^2 dt < \infty$;

(ii)$\varphi_t$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable, for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

We denote by $S^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of continuous $n$-dimensional random processes which satisfy:

(i)$E(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\varphi_t|^2) < \infty$;

(ii)$\varphi_t$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable, for any $t \in [0, T]$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}f &: \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} \to \mathbb{R}^k,\\ g &: \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} \to \mathbb{R}^{k \times l},\end{aligned}$$

be jointly measurable and such that for any $(y, q) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$,

$$\begin{aligned}f(\cdot, y, q) &\in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^k),\\ g(\cdot, y, q) &\in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times l}).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we assume that there exist constants $C > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that for any $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T], (y_1, q_1), (y_2, q_2) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times l}$,

$$\begin{aligned}|f(t, y_1, q_1) - f(t, y_2, q_2)|^2 &\leq C(|y_1 - y_2|^2 + ||q_1 - q_2||^2);\\ ||g(t, y_1, q_1) - g(t, y_2, q_2)||^2 &\leq C|y_1 - y_2|^2 + \alpha||q_1 - q_2||^2.\end{aligned} \tag{H}$$

Given $\eta \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbf{P};\mathbb{R}^k)$, we consider the following Backward doubly stochastic differential equation:

$$y_t = \eta + \int_t^T f(s, y_s, q_s) ds + \int_t^T g(s, y_s, q_s) dBs - \int_t^T q_s dWs, 0 \leq t \leq T. \tag{2.1}$$

We note that the integral with respect to $\{B_t\}$ is a "backward Itô integral" and the integral with respect to $\{W_t\}$ is a standard forward Itô integral. These two types of integrals are particular cases of the Itô-Skorohod integral, see Nualart and Pardoux [15].

By Theorem 1.1 in [17], the above equation (2.1) has a unique solution $(y, q) \in S^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^k) \times M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{k\times d})$.

Next let us recall an extension of the well known Itô's formula in [17] which would be often used in this paper.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let* $\alpha \in S^2([0,T];\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\beta \in M^2([0,T];\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\gamma \in M^2([0,T];\mathbb{R}^{k\times l})$, $\delta \in M^2([0,T];\mathbb{R}^{k\times d})$ *be such that:*

$$\alpha_t = \alpha_0 + \int_0^t \beta_s ds + \int_0^t \gamma_s dB_s + \int_0^t \delta_s dW_s, 0 \le t \le T.$$

*Then,*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} |\alpha_t|^2 & = & |\alpha_0|^2 + 2\int_0^t (\alpha_s, \beta_s)ds + 2\int_0^t (\alpha_s, \gamma_s dB_s) - \int_0^t ||\gamma_s||^2 ds + \int_0^t ||\delta_s||^2 ds, \\ E|\alpha_t|^2 & = & E|\alpha_0|^2 + 2E\int_0^t (\alpha_s, \beta_s)ds - E\int_0^t ||\gamma_s||^2 ds + E\int_0^t ||\delta_s||^2 ds. \end{array}$$

*More generally, for* $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^k)$,

$$\begin{array}{rl} \phi(\alpha_t) = & \phi(\alpha_0) + \int_0^t (\phi'(\alpha_s), \beta_s)ds + \int_0^t (\phi'(\alpha_s), \gamma_s dB_s) + \int_0^t (\phi'(\alpha_s), \delta_s dW_s) \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t Tr[\phi''(\alpha_s)\gamma_s\gamma_s^*]ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t Tr[\phi''(\alpha_s)\delta_s\delta_s^*]ds. \end{array}$$

## § 2.2 Problem formulation

Let $K$ be a nonempty convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n\times d}$. We set

$$U[0,T] = \{u(\cdot)|u(t) \in K a.e., a.s., 0 \le t \le T; u(\cdot) \in M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n\times d})\}.$$

An element of $U[0,T]$ is called an admissible control. Now let

$$F : \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times l} \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k\times d} \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times d} \to \mathbb{R}^n,$$
$$G : \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times l} \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k\times d} \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times d} \to \mathbb{R}^{n\times d},$$

and

$$f : \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times l} \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k\times d} \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times d} \to \mathbb{R}^k,$$
$$g : \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times l} \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k\times d} \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times d} \to \mathbb{R}^{k\times l},$$

be jointly measurable such that for any $(x,z,y,q)\in\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times l}\times\mathbb{R}^k\times\mathbb{R}^{k\times d}$ and any $u(\cdot)\in U[0,T]$

$$\begin{array}{rclrcl} F(\cdot,x,z,y,q,u(\cdot)) & \in & M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n), & G(\cdot,x,z,y,q,u(\cdot)) & \in & M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n\times d}),\\ f(\cdot,x,z,y,q,u(\cdot)) & \in & M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^k), & g(\cdot,x,z,y,q,u(\cdot)) & \in & M^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{k\times l}).\end{array}$$

Let

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\zeta(t) & = & (x(t),z(t),y(t),q(t)),\\ A(t,\zeta) & = & (-F,-G,-f,-g)(t,\zeta).\end{array}$$

We assume

(H1) $\forall\zeta_1=(x_1,z_1,y_1,q_1),\ \zeta_2=(x_2,z_2,y_2,q_2)\in\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times l}\times\mathbb{R}^k\times\mathbb{R}^{k\times d}$ and $t\in[0,T]$, there exists a constant $\mu>0$ such that the following monotonicity condition holds for any $u(\cdot)\in U[0,T]$

$$<A(t,\zeta_1)-A(t,\zeta_2),\zeta_1-\zeta_2>\leq-\mu|\zeta_1-\zeta_2|^2.$$

(H2) There exist constants $C>0$ and $0<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ such that for any $(\omega,t)\in\Omega\times[0,T]$, $(x_1,z_1,y_1,q_1,u_1),(x_2,z_2,y_2,q_2,u_2)\in\mathbb{R}^k\times\mathbb{R}^{k\times d}\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times l}\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times d}$ the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{array}{rl} & |\vartheta(t,x_1,z_1,y_1,q_1,u_1)-\vartheta(t,x_2,z_2,y_1,q_1,u_2)|^2\\ \leq & C(|x_1-x_2|^2+||z_1-z_2||^2+|y_1-y_2|^2+||q_1-q_2||^2+||u_1-u_2||^2),\\ & ||\varkappa(t,x_1,z_1,y_1,q_1,u_1)-\varkappa(t,x_2,z_2,y_1,q_1,u_2)||^2\\ \leq & C(|x_1-x_2|^2+|y_1-y_2|^2+||u_1-u_2||^2)+\alpha(||z_1-z_2||^2+||q_1-q_2||^2),\end{array}$$

where $\vartheta=(F,f)$, $\varkappa=(G,g)$.

(H3)$F,G,f,g,\psi,h,l,\chi,\lambda,\phi$ and $\gamma$ are continuous in their arguments and continuously differentiable in $(x,z,y,q,u)$, and the derivatives of $F,G,f,g$ in $(x,z,y,q,u)$ are bounded and $0<||g_z(\cdot)||<\frac{1}{2}$, $0<||G_z(\cdot)||<\frac{1}{2}$, $0<||g_q(\cdot)||<\frac{1}{2}$, $0<||G_q(\cdot)||<\frac{1}{2}$; the derivatives of $l$ in $(x,y,z,q,u)$ are bounded by $C(1+|x|++|z|+|y|+|q|+||u||)$, and the derivatives of $\phi$, $\chi$ and $\psi$ in $x$ are bounded by $C(1+|x|)$ ; $\gamma$, $\lambda$ and $h$ in $y$ are bounded by $C(1+|y|)$.

Given $\xi\in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_0,\mathbf{P};\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\eta\in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_T,\mathbf{P};\mathbb{R}^k)$ and $\forall u(\cdot)\in U[0,T]$ now let us consider the following time-symmetric forward-backward differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} -dx_t &= F(t,x_t,z_t,y_t,q_t,u_t)dt + G(t,x_t,z_t,y_t,q_t,u_t)dW_t - z_t dB_t, \\ x_0 &= \xi, \\ -dy_t &= f(t,x_t,z_t,y_t,q_t,u_t)dt + g(t,x_t,z_t,y_t,q_t,u_t)dB_t - q_t dW_t, \\ y_T &= \eta. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

From theorem 2.2 in [18], we get the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.2.** *For given $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbf{P}; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\eta \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbf{P}; \mathbb{R}^k)$ and $\forall u(\cdot) \in U[0,T]$, assume (H1)∼(H3), then (2.2) exist a unique $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted solution $(x(t), z(t), y(t), q(t))$.*

In (2.2), we regard $\xi$, $\eta$, $u(\cdot)$ as controls. $\xi$, $\eta$, $u(\cdot)$ can be chosen from the following admissible set :

$$U = \{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot)) | \xi \in K_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n a.s., \ \eta \in K_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^k a.s., \ E[|\xi|^2] < \infty, E[|\eta|^2] < \infty, \ u(\cdot) \in U[0,T]\}.$$

We also assume the state constraints $E(\psi(x_T^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))})) = a$ and $E(h(y_0^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))})) = b$.

For each $(\xi, \eta, u(\cdot)) \in U$, consider the following cost function:

$$\begin{aligned} & J(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot)) \\ = \ & E[\textstyle\int_0^T l(x(t),z(t),y(t),q(t),u(t),t)dt + \chi(\xi) + \lambda(\eta) + \phi(x(T)) \\ & + \gamma(y(0))]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Our optimization problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot)) \in U} J(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot)) \\ \text{subject to} \quad & E(\psi(x_T^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))})) = a; \ E(h(y_0^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))})) = b. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

**Definition 2.3.** *A triple of random variable $(\xi, \eta, u(\cdot)) \in U$ is called feasible for given $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^k$ if the solution (2.2) satisfy $E(\psi(x_T^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))})) = a$ and $E(h(y_0^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))})) = b$. We shall denote by $\mathbb{N}(a,b)$ the set of all feasible $(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))$ for any given a and b.*

A feasible $(\xi^*, \eta^*, u^*(\cdot))$ is called optimal if it attains the maximum of $J(\xi, \eta, u(\cdot))$ over $\mathbb{N}(a,b)$.

The aim of this paper is to obtain a characterization of $(\xi^*, \eta^*, u^*(\cdot))$, *i.e.* a stochastic maximum principle.

## § 2.3 Stochastic Maximum Principle

Using Ekeland's variational principle, we derive maximum principle for the optimization problem (2.4) in this section. For simplicity, we first study the case where $l(y(t), z(t), y(t), q(t), u(t), t) = 0$, $\chi(x) = 0$ and $\lambda(y) = 0$ in subsection 2.3.1-2.3.3, and then present the results for the general case in subsection 2.3.4.

### 2.3.1 Variational equations

For $(\xi^1, \eta^1, u^1(\cdot)), (\xi^2, \eta^2, u^2(\cdot)) \in U$, we define a metric in $U$ by

$$d(\xi^1, \eta^1, u^1(\cdot)), (\xi^2, \eta^2, u^2(\cdot)) \triangleq (E|\xi^1 - \xi^2|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + E|\eta^1 - \eta^2|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (||u^1(\cdot) - u^2(\cdot)||^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is obvious that $(U, d(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a complete metric space.

Let $(\xi^*, \eta^*, u^*(\cdot))$ be optimal and $(x^*(\cdot), z^*(\cdot), y^*(\cdot), q^*(\cdot))$ be the corresponding state processes of (2.2). $\forall (\xi, \eta, u(\cdot)) \in U$ and $\forall 0 \leq \rho \leq 1$,

$$(\xi^* + \rho(\xi - \xi^*), \eta^* + \rho(\eta - \eta^*), u^*(\cdot) + \rho(u(\cdot) - u^*(\cdot))) \in U.$$

Let $(x^\rho(\cdot), z^\rho(\cdot), y^\rho(\cdot), q^\rho(\cdot))$ be the state processes of (2.2) associated with $(\xi^* + \rho(\xi - \xi^*), \eta^* + \rho(\eta - \eta^*), u^*(\cdot) + \rho(u(\cdot) - u^*(\cdot)))$.

To derive the first-order necessary condition, we let $(\hat{x}(\cdot), \hat{z}(\cdot), \hat{y}(\cdot), \hat{q}(\cdot))$ be the solution of the following time-symmetric forward-backward differential equations:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} -d\hat{x}_t &=& (F_x^*(t)\hat{x}_t + F_z^*(t)\hat{z}_t + F_y^*(t)\hat{y}_t + F_q^*(t)\hat{q}_t + F_u^*(t)(u(\cdot) \\ && -u^*(\cdot)))dt + (G_x^*(t)\hat{x}_t + G_z^*(t)\hat{z}_t + G_y^*(t)\hat{y}_t + G_q^*(t)\hat{q}_t \\ && +G_u^*(t)(u(\cdot) - u^*(\cdot)))dW_t - \hat{z}_t dB(t), \\ \hat{x}_0 &=& \xi - \xi^*, \\ -d\hat{y}_t &=& (f_x^*(t)\hat{x}_t + f_z^*(t)\hat{z}_t + f_y^*(t)\hat{y}_t + f_q^*(t)\hat{q}_t + f_u^*(t)(u(\cdot) \\ && -u^*(\cdot)))dt + (g_x^*(t)\hat{x}_t + g_z^*(t)\hat{z}_t + g_y^*(t)\hat{y}_t + g_q^*(t)\hat{q}_t \\ && +g_u^*(t)(u(\cdot) - u^*(\cdot)))dB_t - \hat{q}_t dW(t), \\ \hat{y}_T &=& \eta - \eta^*, \end{array}\right. \tag{2.5}$$

where $H_k^*(t) = H_k(x^*(\cdot), z^*(\cdot), y^*(\cdot), q^*(\cdot), u^*(\cdot), t)$ for $H = F, G, f, g$ , $k = x, z, y, q, u$.

Equation (2.5) is called the variation equation.

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x}_\rho(t) &= \rho^{-1}[x_\rho(t) - x^*(t)] - \hat{x}(t),\\
\tilde{z}_\rho(t) &= \rho^{-1}[z_\rho(t) - z^*(t)] - \hat{z}(t),\\
\tilde{y}_\rho(t) &= \rho^{-1}[y_\rho(t) - y^*(t)] - \hat{y}(t),\\
\tilde{q}_\rho(t) &= \rho^{-1}[q_\rho(t) - q^*(t)] - \hat{q}(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

We have the following convergence.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Assuming* $(H1) \sim (H3)$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\rho\to 0} \sup_{0\le t\le T} E[|\tilde{x}_\rho(t)|^2] = 0,\\
&\lim_{\rho\to 0} E[\textstyle\int_0^T ||\tilde{z}_\rho(t)||^2 dt] = 0,\\
&\lim_{\rho\to 0} \sup_{0\le t\le T} E[|\tilde{y}_\rho(t)|^2] = 0,\\
&\lim_{\rho\to 0} E[\textstyle\int_0^T ||\tilde{q}_\rho(t)||^2 dt] = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

*Proof.* From (2.2) and (2.5), we have

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl}
-d\tilde{y}_\rho(t) &=& \rho^{-1}[f(x_\rho(t), z_\rho(t), y_\rho(t), q_\rho(t), u_\rho(t), t) - f(x^*(t), z^*(t), y^*(t), u^*(t), q^*(t), t)\\
&& -\rho f_x^*(t)\hat{x}(t) - \rho f_z^*(t)\hat{z}(t) - \rho f_y^*(t)\hat{y}(t) - \rho f_q^*(t)\hat{q}(t) - \rho f_u^*(t)(u(\cdot) - u^*(\cdot))]dt\\
&& +\rho^{-1}[g(x_\rho(t), z_\rho(t), y_\rho(t), q_\rho(t), u_\rho(t), t) - g(x^*(t), z^*(t), y^*(t), u^*(t), q^*(t), t)\\
&& -\rho g_x^*(t)\hat{x}(t) - \rho g_z^*(t)\hat{z}(t) - \rho g_y^*(t)\hat{y}(t) - \rho g_q^*(t)\hat{q}(t) - \rho g_u^*(t)(u(\cdot) - u^*(\cdot))]dB_t\\
&& -\tilde{q}_\rho(t)dW_t,\\
\tilde{y}_\rho(T) &=& 0.
\end{array}\right. \tag{2.8}$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^\rho(t) &= \textstyle\int_0^1 f_x(x^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{x}(t) + \tilde{x}_\rho(t)), z^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{z}(t) + \tilde{z}_\rho(t)), y^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{y}(t) + \tilde{y}_\rho(t)),\\
&\quad q^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{q}(t) + \tilde{q}_\rho(t)), u^*(t) + \lambda\rho(u(t) - u^*(t)), t)d\lambda,\\
B^\rho(t) &= \textstyle\int_0^1 f_z(x^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{x}(t) + \tilde{x}_\rho(t)), z^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{z}(t) + \tilde{z}_\rho(t)), y^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{y}(t) + \tilde{y}_\rho(t)),\\
&\quad q^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{q}(t) + \tilde{q}_\rho(t)), u^*(t) + \lambda\rho(u(t) - u^*(t)), t)d\lambda,\\
C^\rho(t) &= \textstyle\int_0^1 f_y(x^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{x}(t) + \tilde{x}_\rho(t)), z^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{z}(t) + \tilde{z}_\rho(t)), y^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{y}(t) + \tilde{y}_\rho(t)),\\
&\quad q^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{q}(t) + \tilde{q}_\rho(t)), u^*(t) + \lambda\rho(u(t) - u^*(t)), t)d\lambda,\\
D^\rho(t) &= \textstyle\int_0^1 f_q(x^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{x}(t) + \tilde{x}_\rho(t)), z^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{z}(t) + \tilde{z}_\rho(t)), y^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{y}(t) + \tilde{y}_\rho(t)),\\
&\quad q^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{q}(t) + \tilde{q}_\rho(t)), u^*(t) + \lambda\rho(u(t) - u^*(t)), t)d\lambda,\\
E^\rho(t) &= [A^\rho(t) - f_x^*(t)]\hat{x}(t) + [B^\rho(t) - f_z^*(t)]\hat{z}(t) + [C^\rho(t) - f_y^*(t)]\hat{y}(t) + [D^\rho(t) - f_q^*(t)]\hat{q}(t)\\
&\quad + \textstyle\int_0^1 [f_u(x^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{x}(t) + \tilde{x}_\rho(t)), z^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{z}(t) + \tilde{z}_\rho(t)), y^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{y}(t) + \tilde{y}_\rho(t)),\\
&\quad q^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{q}(t) + \tilde{q}_\rho(t)), u^*(t) + \lambda\rho(u(t) - u^*(t)), t) - f_u^*(t)](u(t) - u^*(t))d\lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_1^\rho(t) &= \textstyle\int_0^1 g_x(x^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{x}(t) + \tilde{x}_\rho(t)), z^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{z}(t) + \tilde{z}_\rho(t)), y^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{y}(t) + \tilde{y}_\rho(t)),\\
&\quad q^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{q}(t) + \tilde{q}_\rho(t)), u^*(t) + \lambda\rho(u(t) - u^*(t)), t)d\lambda,\\
B_1^\rho(t) &= \textstyle\int_0^1 g_z(x^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{x}(t) + \tilde{x}_\rho(t)), z^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{z}(t) + \tilde{z}_\rho(t)), y^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{y}(t) + \tilde{y}_\rho(t)),\\
&\quad q^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{q}(t) + \tilde{q}_\rho(t)), u^*(t) + \lambda\rho(u(t) - u^*(t)), t)d\lambda,\\
C_1^\rho(t) &= \textstyle\int_0^1 g_y(x^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{x}(t) + \tilde{x}_\rho(t)), z^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{z}(t) + \tilde{z}_\rho(t)), y^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{y}(t) + \tilde{y}_\rho(t)),\\
&\quad q^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{q}(t) + \tilde{q}_\rho(t)), u^*(t) + \lambda\rho(u(t) - u^*(t)), t)d\lambda,\\
D_1^\rho(t) &= \textstyle\int_0^1 g_q(x^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{x}(t) + \tilde{x}_\rho(t)), z^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{z}(t) + \tilde{z}_\rho(t)), y^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{y}(t) + \tilde{y}_\rho(t)),\\
&\quad q^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{q}(t) + \tilde{q}_\rho(t)), u^*(t) + \lambda\rho(u(t) - u^*(t)), t)d\lambda,\\
E_1^\rho(t) &= [A_1^\rho(t) - g_x^*(t)]\hat{x}(t) + [B_1^\rho(t) - g_z^*(t)]\hat{z}(t) + [C_1^\rho(t) - g_y^*(t)]\hat{y}(t) + [D_1^\rho(t) - g_q^*(t)]\hat{q}(t)\\
&\quad + \textstyle\int_0^1 [g_u(x^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{x}(t) + \tilde{x}_\rho(t)), z^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{z}(t) + \tilde{z}_\rho(t)), y^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{y}(t) + \tilde{y}_\rho(t)),\\
&\quad q^*(t) + \lambda\rho(\hat{q}(t) + \tilde{q}_\rho(t)), u^*(t) + \lambda\rho(u(t) - u^*(t)), t) - g_u^*(t)](u(t) - u^*(t))d\lambda.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\left\{
\begin{aligned}
-d\tilde{y}_\rho(t) &= \left(A^\rho(t)\tilde{x}_\rho(t) + B^\rho(t)\tilde{z}_\rho(t) + C^\rho(t)\tilde{y}_\rho(t) + D^\rho(t)\tilde{q}_\rho(t) + E^\rho(t)\right)dt\\
&\quad + \left(A_1^\rho(t)\tilde{x}_\rho(t) + B_1^\rho(t)\tilde{z}_\rho(t) + C_1^\rho(t)\tilde{y}_\rho(t) + D_1^\rho(t)\tilde{q}_\rho(t) + E_1^\rho(t)\right)dB_t\\
&\quad - \tilde{q}_\rho(t)dW(t),\\
\tilde{y}_\rho(T) &= 0.
\end{aligned}
\right.
$$

Using lemma 2.1 to $|\tilde{y}_\rho(t)|^2$, we get

$$\begin{array}{rl} & E|\tilde{y}_\rho(t)|^2 + E\int_t^T ||\tilde{q}_\rho(s)||^2 ds \\ = & 2E\int_t^T (\tilde{y}_\rho(t), A^\rho(s)\tilde{x}_\rho(s) + B^\rho(s)\tilde{z}_\rho(s) + C^\rho(s)\tilde{y}_\rho(s) + D^\rho(s)\tilde{q}_\rho(s) + E^\rho(s))ds \\ & +E\int_t^T ||A_1^\rho(s)\tilde{x}_\rho(s) + B_1^\rho(s)\tilde{z}_\rho(s) + C_1^\rho(s)\tilde{y}_\rho(s) + D_1^\rho(s)\tilde{q}_\rho(s) + E_1^\rho(s)||^2 ds \\ \leq & K(E\int_t^T |\tilde{y}_\rho(s)|^2 ds + E\int_t^T |\tilde{x}_\rho(s)|^2 ds) + +\beta_1(E\int_t^T ||\tilde{z}_\rho(s)||^2 ds + E\int_t^T ||\tilde{q}_\rho(s)||^2 ds + J_\rho), \end{array}$$

where $K > 0, 0 < \beta_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ are constants and

$$J_\rho \;=\; E\int_t^T |E^\rho(s)|^2 ds + E\int_t^T |E_1^\rho(s)|^2 ds.$$

Similar analysis shows that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} -d\tilde{x}_\rho(t) & = & (A'^\rho(t)\tilde{x}_\rho(t) + B'^\rho(t)\tilde{z}_\rho(t) + C'^\rho(t)\tilde{y}_\rho(t) + D'^\rho(t)\tilde{q}_\rho(t) + E'^\rho(t))\,dt \\ & & +\left(A_1'^\rho(t)\tilde{x}_\rho(t) + B_1'^\rho(t)\tilde{z}_\rho(t) + C_1'^\rho(t)\tilde{y}_\rho(t) + D_1'^\rho(t)\tilde{q}_\rho(t) + E_1'^\rho(t)\right) dW_t \\ & & -\tilde{z}_\rho(t)dB(t), \\ \tilde{x}_\rho(0) & = & 0 \end{array}\right.$$

where $H'^\rho(t)$ and $H_1'^\rho(t)$ ($H = A$, $B$, $C$, $D$ and $E$) are similarly defined as above.

It yields that

$$\begin{array}{rl} & E|\tilde{x}_\rho(t)|^2 + E\int_t^T ||\tilde{z}_\rho(s)||^2 ds \\ = & 2E\int_t^T (\tilde{x}_\rho(t), A'^\rho(s)\tilde{x}_\rho(s) + B'^\rho(s)\tilde{z}_\rho(s) + C'^\rho(s)\tilde{y}_\rho(s) + D'^\rho(s)\tilde{q}_\rho(ts) + E'^\rho(s))ds \\ & +E\int_t^T ||A_1'^\rho(s)\tilde{x}_\rho(s) + B_1'^\rho(s)\tilde{z}_\rho(s) + C_1'^\rho(s)\tilde{y}_\rho(s) + D_1'^\rho(s)\tilde{q}_\rho(s) + E_1'^\rho(s)||^2 ds \\ \leq & K(E\int_t^T |\tilde{y}_\rho(s)|^2 ds + E\int_t^T |\tilde{x}_\rho(s)|^2 ds) + \beta_2(E\int_t^T ||\tilde{z}_\rho(s)||^2 ds + E\int_t^T ||\tilde{q}_\rho(s)||^2 ds + J'_\rho) \end{array}$$

where $K > 0$, $0 < \beta_2 < \frac{1}{2}$ are constants and

$$J'_\rho \;=\; C(E\int_t^T |E'^\rho(s)|^2 ds + E\int_t^T |E_1'^\rho(s)|^2 ds).$$

Since $0 < \beta_1 + \beta_2 < 1$ and $K > 0$, there exists $K_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{array}{rl} & E|\tilde{x}_\rho(t)|^2 + E|\tilde{y}_\rho(t)|^2 + (1 - \beta_1 - \beta_2)(E\int_t^T ||\tilde{z}_\rho(s)||^2 ds + E\int_t^T ||\tilde{q}_\rho(s)||^2 ds) \\ \leq & K_1(E\int_t^T |\tilde{x}_\rho(s)|^2 ds) + E\int_t^T |\tilde{y}_\rho(s)|^2 ds + J_\rho + J'_\rho). \end{array}$$

Since the lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies

$$\lim_{\rho\to 0} J_\rho = 0, \lim_{\rho\to 0} J'_\rho = 0$$

we obtain the result by Gronwall's inequality. □

### 2.3.2 Variational inequality

In this subsection, we apply Ekeland's variational principle [4] to deal with initial-terminal state constraints $E(\psi(x_T^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))}))=a$, $E(h(y_0^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))}))=b$.

$$\begin{aligned} F_\varepsilon((\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))) \;\triangleq\;& \{|E(\psi(x_T^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))}))-a|^2+|E(h(y_0^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))}))-b|^2\\ &+(\max(0,E[\phi(x_T^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))})-\phi(x_T^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))})]+\varepsilon))^2\\ &+(\max(0,E[\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))})-\gamma(y_0^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))})]+\varepsilon))^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where $a$ and $b$ are the given initial and terminal state constraints and $\varepsilon$ is an arbitrary positive constant.

It is easy to check that the mapping $|E(\psi(x_T^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))}))-a|^2$, $|E(h(y_0^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))}))-b|^2$, $\phi(x_T^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))})$ and $\gamma(y_0^{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))})$ are all continuous functionals from $U$ to $\mathbb{R}$.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Suppose (H1)∼(H3). Let* $(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))$ *be an optimal solution to (2.4). Then there exist* $h_0,h_1,h_2,h_3\in\mathbb{R}$ *with* $h_0,h_1\le 0$ *and* $|h_0|+|h_1|+|h_2|+|h_3|\neq 0$ *such that the following variational inequality holds*

$$\begin{aligned} &h_3E<\psi_x(x_T^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))}),\hat{x}_T>+h_2E<h_y(y_0^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))}),\hat{y}_0>\\ &+h_1<E\phi_x(x_T^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))}),\hat{x}_T+h_0E\gamma_y(y_0^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))}),\hat{y}_0>\\ &\ge 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

*where* $\hat{x}_T$ *is the solution* $\hat{x}(t)$ *of (2.5) at time T, and* $\hat{y}_0$ *is the solution* $\hat{y}(t)$ *of (2.5) at time 0.*

*Proof.* It is easy to check that $F_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ is continuous on $U$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &F_\varepsilon(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))=\varepsilon;\\ &F_\varepsilon(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))>0,\ \forall(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))\in U;\\ &F_\varepsilon(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))\le\inf_{(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))\in U}F_\varepsilon(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))+\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from Ekeland's variational principle [4], $\exists(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\epsilon(\cdot))\in U$ such that

(i) $F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))\le F_\varepsilon(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))$;

(ii) $d((\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot)),(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot)))\le\sqrt{\varepsilon}$;

(iii) $F_\varepsilon(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))+\sqrt{\varepsilon}d((\xi,\eta,u(\cdot)),(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot)))\ge F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))$. $\forall(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))\in U$.

For any $(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))\in U$, set $(\xi^\varepsilon_\rho=\xi^\varepsilon+\rho(\xi-\xi^\varepsilon),\eta^\varepsilon_\rho=\eta^\varepsilon+\rho(\eta-\eta^\varepsilon),u^\varepsilon_\rho(\cdot)=u^\varepsilon(\cdot)+\rho(u(\cdot)-u^\varepsilon(\cdot)),0\leq\rho\leq 1$. Let $(x^\varepsilon_\rho(\cdot),y^\varepsilon_\rho(\cdot),z^\varepsilon_\rho(\cdot),q^\varepsilon_\rho(\cdot))$ (resp. $(x^\varepsilon(\cdot),y^\varepsilon(\cdot),z^\varepsilon(\cdot),q^\varepsilon(\cdot)))$ be the solution of (2.2) under $(\xi^\varepsilon_\rho,\eta^\varepsilon_\rho,u^\varepsilon_\rho(\cdot))$ (resp.$(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))$, and $(\hat{x}^\varepsilon(\cdot),\hat{y}^\varepsilon(\cdot),\hat{z}^\varepsilon(\cdot),\hat{q}^\varepsilon(\cdot))$ be the solution of (2.5) in which $(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))$ is substituted by $(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))$.

From (iii), we know that

$$F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon_\rho,\eta^\varepsilon_\rho,u^\varepsilon_\rho(\cdot))-F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))+\sqrt{\varepsilon}d((\xi^\varepsilon_\rho,\eta^\varepsilon_\rho,u^\varepsilon_\rho(\cdot)),(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot)))\geq 0. \tag{2.11}$$

On the other hand, similarly to lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{\rho\to 0}\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}E[\rho^{-1}[x^\varepsilon_\rho(t)-x^\varepsilon(t)]-\hat{x}^\varepsilon(t)]^2=0,\\&\lim_{\rho\to 0}\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}E[\rho^{-1}[y^\varepsilon_\rho(t)-y^\varepsilon(t)]-\hat{y}^\varepsilon(t)]^2=0.\end{aligned}$$

This leads to the following expansions

$$\begin{aligned}&|E(\psi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon_\rho,\eta^\varepsilon_\rho,u^\varepsilon_\rho(\cdot))}))-a|^2-|E(\psi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}))-a|^2\\=\ &<2\rho E(\psi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}))-a,E[\psi_x(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})\times(\hat{x}^\varepsilon_T)]>+o(\rho),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&|E(h(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon_\rho,\eta^\varepsilon_\rho,u^\varepsilon_\rho(\cdot))}))-b|^2-|E(h(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}))-b|^2\\=\ &<2\rho E(h(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}))-b,E[h_y(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})\times(\hat{y}^\varepsilon_0)]>+o(\rho).\end{aligned}$$

Applying the linearization technique, then

$$\begin{aligned}E[\phi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon_\rho,\eta^\varepsilon_\rho,u^\varepsilon_\rho(\cdot))})-\phi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})]&=E[\textstyle\int_0^T\phi_x(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon+\lambda\rho\hat{\xi}^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon+\lambda\rho\hat{\eta}^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot)+\lambda\rho\hat{u}^\varepsilon)})d\lambda\cdot\rho\hat{x}^\varepsilon_T];\\E[\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon_\rho,\eta^\varepsilon_\rho,u^\varepsilon_\rho(\cdot))})-\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})]&=E[\textstyle\int_0^T\gamma_y(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon+\lambda\rho\hat{\xi}^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon+\lambda\rho\hat{\eta}^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot)+\lambda\rho\hat{u}^\varepsilon)})d\lambda\cdot\rho\hat{y}^\varepsilon_0].\end{aligned}$$

So we have the following expansions

$$\begin{aligned}&(E[\phi(x_T^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))})-\phi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon_\rho,\eta^\varepsilon_\rho,u^\varepsilon_\rho(\cdot))})]+\varepsilon)^2-(E[\phi(x_T^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))})-\phi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})]+\varepsilon)^2\\=\ &-2\rho E[\phi_x(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})\cdot\rho\hat{x}^\varepsilon_T][E[\phi(x_T^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))})-\phi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})]+\varepsilon],\\&(E[\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))})-\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon_\rho,\eta^\varepsilon_\rho,u^\varepsilon_\rho(\cdot))})]+\varepsilon)^2-(E[\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))})-\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})]+\varepsilon)^2\\=\ &-2\rho E[\gamma_y(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})\cdot\rho\hat{y}^\varepsilon_0][E[\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))})-\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})]+\varepsilon].\end{aligned}$$

For the given $\varepsilon$, we consider the following four cases:

Case 1.

There exists $\rho_0>0$ such that

$$E[\phi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon_\rho,\eta^\varepsilon_\rho,u^\varepsilon_\rho(\cdot))})-\phi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})]+\varepsilon>0$$

and

$$E[\gamma(y_0^{(\xi_\rho^\varepsilon,\eta_\rho^\varepsilon,u_\rho^\varepsilon(\cdot))})-\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})]+\varepsilon>0$$

for all $\rho\in(0,\rho_0)$.

In this case,

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \lim\limits_{\rho\to 0}\frac{F_\varepsilon(\xi_\rho^\varepsilon,\eta_\rho^\varepsilon,u_\rho^\varepsilon(\cdot))-F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}{\rho}\\ = & \lim\limits_{\rho\to 0}\frac{1}{F_\varepsilon(\xi_\rho^\varepsilon,\eta_\rho^\varepsilon,u_\rho^\varepsilon(\cdot))+F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}\frac{F_\varepsilon^2(\xi_\rho^\varepsilon,\eta_\rho^\varepsilon,u_\rho^\varepsilon(\cdot))-F_\varepsilon^2(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}{\rho}\\ = & \frac{1}{F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}\{<E(\psi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}))-a,E[\psi_x(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})\times(\hat{x}_T^\varepsilon)]>\\ & +<E(h(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}))-b,E[h_y(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})\times(\hat{y}_0^\varepsilon)]>\\ & -E[\phi_x(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})\cdot\hat{x}_T^\varepsilon][E[\phi(x_T^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))})-\phi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})]+\varepsilon]\\ & -E[\gamma_y(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})\cdot\hat{y}_0^\varepsilon][E[\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))})-\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})]+\varepsilon]\}. \end{array}$$

Dividing (2.11) by $\rho$ and sending $\rho$ to 0, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{rl} & <h_3^\varepsilon,E[\psi_x(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})\times(\hat{x}_T^\varepsilon)]>+<h_2^\varepsilon,E[h_y(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})\times(\hat{y}_0^\varepsilon)]>\\ & +h_1^\varepsilon<E\phi_x(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}),\hat{x}_T^\varepsilon>+h_0^\varepsilon E<\gamma_y(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}),\hat{y}_0^\varepsilon>\\ \geq & -\sqrt{\varepsilon}d((\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot)),(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))). \end{array}$$

It can be written as

$$\begin{array}{rc} & h_3^\varepsilon E<\psi_x(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}),\hat{x}_T^\varepsilon>+h_2^\varepsilon E<h_y(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}),\hat{y}_0^\varepsilon>\\ & +h_1^\varepsilon E\left\langle\phi_x(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}),\hat{x}_T^\varepsilon\right\rangle+h_0^\varepsilon E\left\langle\gamma_y(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}),\hat{y}_0^\varepsilon\right\rangle\\ \geq & -\sqrt{\varepsilon}d((\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot)),(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))), \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_0^\varepsilon &= -\frac{1}{F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}[E[\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))})-\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})]+\varepsilon]\leq 0,\\ h_1^\varepsilon &= -\frac{1}{F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}[E[\phi(x_T^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))})-\phi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})]+\varepsilon]\leq 0,\\ h_3^\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}[E(\psi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}))-a],\\ h_2^\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}[E(h(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}))-b]. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.

There exists a position sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ satisfying $\rho_n \to 0$ such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} E[\phi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},\eta^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},u^\varepsilon_{\rho_n}(\cdot))}) - \phi(x_T^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))})] + \varepsilon & \leq & 0, \\ E[\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},\eta^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},u^\varepsilon_{\rho_n}(\cdot))}) - \gamma(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})] + \varepsilon & > & 0. \end{array}$$

Then

$$\begin{array}{rl} & F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},\eta^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},u^\varepsilon_{\rho_n}(\cdot)) \\ = & \{|E(\psi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},\eta^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},u^\varepsilon_{\rho_n}(\cdot))})) - a|^2 + |E(h(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},\eta^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},u^\varepsilon_{\rho_n}(\cdot))})) - b|^2 \\ & +(\max(0, E[\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))}) - \gamma(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},\eta^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},u^\varepsilon_{\rho_n}(\cdot))})] + \varepsilon))^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{array}$$

For sufficiently large $n$, since $F_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ is continuous, we conclude

$$\begin{array}{rl} & F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot)) \\ = & \{|E(\psi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})) - a|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + |E(h(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})) - b|^2 \\ & +(\max(0, E[\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))}) - \gamma(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})] + \varepsilon))^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{array}$$

Now

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \frac{F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},\eta^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},u^\varepsilon_{\rho_n}(\cdot)) - F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}{\rho_n} \\ = & \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},\eta^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},u^\varepsilon_{\rho_n}(\cdot)) + F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))} \frac{F^2_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},\eta^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},u^\varepsilon_{\rho_n}(\cdot))) - F^2_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}{\rho_n} \\ = & \frac{1}{F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}\{\left\langle E(\psi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})) - a, E[\psi_x(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}) \times (\hat{x}^\varepsilon_T)]\right\rangle \\ & +E(h(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})) - b, E[h_y(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}) \times (\hat{y}^\varepsilon_0)] \\ & -E[\gamma_y(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}) \cdot \hat{y}^\varepsilon_0][E[\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))} - \gamma(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})] + \varepsilon]\}. \end{array}$$

Similar to case 1 we get

$$\begin{array}{rl} & h^\varepsilon_3 E < \psi_x(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}), \hat{x}^\varepsilon_T > + h^\varepsilon_2 E < h_y(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}), \hat{y}^\varepsilon_0 > + h^\varepsilon_0 E \left\langle \gamma_y(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}), \hat{y}^\varepsilon_0 \right\rangle \\ \geq & -\sqrt{\varepsilon} d((\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot)),(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))), \end{array}$$

where

$$h^\varepsilon_0 = -\frac{1}{F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}[E[\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))}) - \gamma(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})] + \varepsilon] \leq 0,$$

$$h^\varepsilon_1 = 0,$$

$$h^\varepsilon_3 = \frac{1}{F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}[E(\psi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})) - a],$$

$$h^\varepsilon_2 = \frac{1}{F_\varepsilon(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))}[E(h(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon,\eta^\varepsilon,u^\varepsilon(\cdot))})) - b].$$

Case 3.
There exists a positive sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ satisfying $\rho_n \to 0$ such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} E[\phi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},\eta^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},u^\varepsilon_{\rho_n}(\cdot))}) - \phi(x_T^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))})] + \varepsilon & > & 0, \\ E[\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))}) - \gamma(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},\eta^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},u^\varepsilon_{\rho_n}(\cdot))})] + \varepsilon & \leq & 0. \end{array}$$

Case 4.
There exists a positive sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ satisfying $\rho_n \to 0$ such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} E[\phi(x_T^{(\xi^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},\eta^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},u^\varepsilon_{\rho_n}(\cdot))}) - \phi(x_T^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))})] + \varepsilon & \leq & 0, \\ E[\gamma(y_0^{(\xi^*,\eta^*,u^*(\cdot))} - \gamma(y_0^{(\xi^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},\eta^\varepsilon_{\rho_n},u^\varepsilon_{\rho_n}(\cdot))})] + \varepsilon & \leq & 0. \end{array}$$

Similar techniques can be used to both case 3 and case 4.

In summary, for all those cases, we have $h_0^\epsilon \leq 0, h_1^\epsilon \leq 0$ and $|h_0^\epsilon|^2 + |h_1^\epsilon|^2 + |h_2^\epsilon|^2 + |h_3^\epsilon|^2 = 1$ by the definition of $F_\epsilon(\cdot)$. Then there exists a convergent subsequence of $\langle h_3^\epsilon, h_2^\epsilon, h_1^\epsilon, h_0^\epsilon\rangle$ whose limit is denoted by $\langle h_3, h_2, h_1, h_0\rangle$. On the other hand, it is easy to check that $\hat{x}_0^\varepsilon \to 0, \hat{y}_0^\epsilon \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Thus (2.10) holds. $\square$

### 2.3.3 Maximum principle

In this subsection we derive the maximum principle for the case where $l(x,z,y,z,t) = 0, \zeta(x) = 0, \lambda(y) = 0$ and then present the results for the general case in subsection 2.3.4. To this end, we introduce the adjoint process $(m(\cdot), p(\cdot))$ and $(n(\cdot), \delta(\cdot))$ associated with the optimal solution $(\xi^*, \eta^*, u^*(\cdot))$ to (2.2), which is the solution of the following time-symmetric forward-backward stochastic differential equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} -dm(t) & = & -(F_x^*(t)m(t) + G_x^*(t)p(t) + f_x^*(t)n(t) + g_x^*(t)\delta(t))dt \\ & & +(F_z^*(t)m(t) + G_z^*(t)p(t) + f_z^*(t)n(t) + g_z^*(t)\delta(t))dB_t \\ & & -p_t dW_t, \\ m(T) & = & -(h_3\psi_x(x_T^*) + h_1\phi_x(x_T^*)), \\ dn(t) & = & (F_y^*(t)m(t) + G_y^*(t)p(t) + f_y^*(t)n(t) + g_y^*(t)\delta(t))dt \\ & & +(F_q^*(t)m(t) + G_q^*(t)p(t) + f_q^*(t)n(t) + g_q^*(t)\delta(t))dW_t \\ & & -\delta_t dB_t, \\ n(0) & = & (h_2 h_y(y_0^*) + h_0\gamma_y(y_0^*)), \end{array} \right. \tag{2.12}$$

where $F_k^*(t)$, $f_k^*(t), G_k^*(t), g_k^*(t)$ for $k = x, y, z, q$ are defined as in (2.5). It is easy to check that there exist unique processes $(m(\cdot), p(\cdot))$, $(n(\cdot), \delta(\cdot))$ which solve the above equations.

**Theorem 2.6.** *We assume (H1)∼(H4). Let* $(\xi^*, \eta^*, u^*(t))$ *be optimal and* $(x^*(\cdot), z^*(\cdot), y^*(\cdot), q^*(\cdot))$ *be the corresponding optimal trajectory. Then for arbitrary* $(\xi, \eta, u(t)) \in U$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&< m(0), \xi - \xi^*) >\leq 0,\\
&< n(T), \eta - \eta^* >\geq 0,\\
&< m_t f_u^*(t) + p(t) g_u^*(t) + n_t F_u^*(t) + G_u^*(t)\delta(t), u(t) - u^*(t) >\geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

*Proof.* For any $(\xi, \eta, u(\cdot)) \in U$, let $(\hat{x}(\cdot), \hat{z}\ (\cdot), \hat{y}(\cdot), \hat{q}(\cdot))$ be the solution to (2.5). Applying Lemma 2.1 to $< m(t), \hat{x}(t) > + < n(t), \hat{y}(t) >$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E < m(T), \hat{x}(T) > + E < n(T), \hat{y}(T) > - E < m(0), \hat{x}(0) > - E < n(0), \hat{y}(0) > \\
= \ & [E \textstyle\int_0^T m_t(-F_x^*(t)\hat{x}_t - F_z^*(t)\hat{z}_t - F_y^*(t)\hat{y}_t - F_q^*(t)\hat{q}_t - F_u^*(t)\hat{u}_t)dt \\
& + E \textstyle\int_0^T \hat{x}_t(F_x^*(t)m(t) + G_x^*(t)p(t) + f_x^*(t)n(t) + g_x^*(t)\delta(t))dt \\
& + E \textstyle\int_0^T \hat{z}_t(F_z^*(t)m(t) + G_z^*(t)p(t) + f_z^*(t)n(t) + g_z^*(t)\delta(t))dt \\
& - E \textstyle\int_0^T p(t)(G_x^*(t)\hat{x}_t + G_z^*(t)\hat{z}_t + G_y^*(t)\hat{y}_t + G_q^*(t)\hat{q}_t + G_u^*(t)\hat{u}_t)dt \\
& - E \textstyle\int_0^T n_t(f_x^*(t)\hat{x}_t + f_z^*(t)\hat{z}_t + f_y^*(t)\hat{y}_t + f_q^*(t)\hat{q}_t + f_u^*(t)\hat{u}_t)dt \\
& + E \textstyle\int_0^T \hat{y}_t(F_y^*(t)m(t) + G_y^*(t)p(t) + f_y^*(t)n(t) + g_y^*(t)\delta(t))dt \\
& + E \textstyle\int_0^T \hat{q}_t(F_q^*(t)m(t) + G_q^*(t)p(t) + f_q^*(t)n_t + q_q^*(t)\delta_t)dt \\
& - E \textstyle\int_0^T \delta(t)(g_x^*(t)\hat{x}_t + g_z^*(t)\hat{z}_t + g_y^*(t)\hat{y}_t + g_q^*(t)\hat{q}_t + g_u^*(t)\hat{u}_t)dt \\
= \ & - E \textstyle\int_0^T < m_t F_u^*(t) + p(t)G_u^*(t) + n_t f_u^*(t) + g_u^*(t)\delta(t), \hat{u}_t > dt.
\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& E < n(T), \hat{y}(T) > - E < m(0), \hat{x}(0) > \\
& + E \textstyle\int_0^T < m_t F_u^*(t) + p(t)G_u^*(t) + n_t f_u^*(t) + \delta(t) g_u^*(t), u(t) - u^*(t) > dt \\
= \ & - E < m(T), \hat{x}(T) > + E < n(0), \hat{y}(0) > \\
= \ & h_3 E < \psi_x(x_T^{(\xi^*, \eta^*, u^*(\cdot))}), \hat{x}_T > + h_2 E < h_y(y_0^{(\xi^*, \eta^*, u^*(\cdot))}), \hat{y}_0 > \\
& + h_1 E \left\langle \phi_x(x_T^{(\xi^*, \eta^*, u^*(\cdot))}), \hat{x}_T \right\rangle + h_0 E \left\langle \gamma_y(y_0^{(\xi^*, \eta^*, u^*(\cdot))}), \hat{y}_0 \right\rangle \\
\geq \ & 0
\end{aligned}$$

$\forall(\xi,\eta,u(\cdot))\in U$, we get

$$\begin{array}{ll} & E<n(T),\eta-\eta^{*}>-E<m(0),\xi-\xi^{*})> \\ & +E\int_{0}^{T}<m_{t}F_{u}^{*}(t)+p(t)G_{u}^{*}(t)+n_{t}f_{u}^{*}(t)+\delta(t)g_{u}^{*}(t),u(t)-u^{*}(t)>dt \\ \geq & 0. \end{array}$$

Thus, it is easy to see that (2.13) holds. $\Box$

### 2.3.4 The general case

Define the Hamiltonian

$$H:\mathbb{R}^{n}\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times l}\times\mathbb{R}^{k}\times\mathbb{R}^{k\times d}\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times d}\times\mathbb{R}^{n}\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times l}\times\mathbb{R}^{k}\times\mathbb{R}^{k\times d}\times[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}$$

by

$$\begin{array}{rl} & H(x,z,y,q,u,m,p,n,\delta,t) \\ = & <F(t,x,z,y,q,u),m_{t}>+G(t,x,z,y,q,u),p(t)> \\ & <f(t,x,z,y,q,u),n(t)>+<g(t,x,z,y,q,u),\delta(t)> \\ & +l(t,x,z,y,q,u). \end{array}$$

Now we consider the general case where $l(x(t),z(t),t)\neq 0,\chi(x)\neq 0,\lambda(y)\neq 0$.

Since the proof of the maximum principle is essentially similar as in the preceding subsection, we only present the result without proof.

Let $(\xi^{*},\eta^{*},u^{*}(\cdot))$ be optimal to (2.4) with $(x^{*}(\cdot),y^{*}(\cdot),z^{\ *}(\cdot),q^{\ *}(\cdot))$ being the corresponding optimal trajectory of (2.2). We define the following adjoint equations

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} -dm(t) & = & -(F_{x}^{*}(t)m(t)+G_{x}^{*}(t)p(t)+f_{x}^{*}(t)n(t)+g_{x}^{*}(t)\delta(t)+l_{x}^{*}(t))dt \\ & & +(F_{z}^{*}(t)m(t)+G_{z}^{*}(t)p(t)+f_{z}^{*}(t)n(t)+g_{z}^{*}(t)\delta(t)+l_{z}^{*}(t))dB_{t}-p_{t}dW_{t}, \\ m(T) & = & -(h_{3}\psi_{x}(x_{T}^{*})+h_{1}\phi_{x}(x_{T}^{*})), \\ dn(t) & = & (F_{y}^{*}(t)m(t)+G_{y}^{*}(t)p(t)+f_{y}^{*}(t)n(t)+g_{y}^{*}(t)\delta(t)+l_{y}^{*}(t))dt \\ & & +(F_{q}^{*}(t)m(t)+G_{q}^{*}(t)p(t)+f_{q}^{*}(t)n(t)+g_{q}^{*}(t)\delta(t)+l_{q}^{*}(t))dW_{t}-\delta_{t}dB_{t}, \\ n(0) & = & (h_{2}h_{y}(y_{0}^{*})+h_{0}\gamma_{y}(y_{0}^{*}), \end{array}\right.$$

where $l_{x}^{*}(t)=l(t,x^{*}(t),z^{*}(t),y^{*}(t),q^{*}(t))$ and $l_{z}^{*}(t)=l_{z}(t,x^{*}(t),z^{*}(t),y^{*}(t),q^{*}(t))$.

**Theorem 2.7.** *We assume (H1)∼(H3). Let* $(\xi^*, \eta^*, u^*(\cdot))$ *be optimal and* $(x^*(\cdot), y^*(\cdot), z\ ^*(\cdot), q\ ^*(\cdot))$ *be the corresponding optimal trajectory. Then for arbitrary* $(\xi, \eta, u(\cdot)) \in U$*, we have the following inequalities hold*

$$\begin{cases} < m(0) - \chi_x(\xi^*), \xi - \xi^*) >\leq 0, \\ < n(T) + \lambda_y(\eta^*), \eta - \eta^* >\geq 0, \\ < H_u(t, x^*, z^*, y^*, q^*, u^*, m, p, n, \delta), u(t) - u^*(t) >\geq 0. \end{cases}$$

**Remark:** Let us denoted the boundary of $K_1$ by $\partial K_1$. Set

$$M \triangleq \{w \in \Omega | \xi^*(\omega) \in \partial K_1\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} m(0) - \chi_x(\xi^*) & \leq & 0 \ a.s. \ on \ M, \\ m(0) - \chi_x(\xi^*) & = & 0 \ a.s. \ on \ M^c \end{cases}$$

Similar analysis can be used to the boundaries of $K_2$ and $K$.

## 3. Applications

In this section, we give three specific cases to illustrate the applications of our obtained results.

### § 3.1 Systems composed of a Forward SDE and a BDSDE

**Classical formulation** For given $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbf{P}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u(\cdot) \in U[0, T]$,

we consider the following controlled system composed of a Forward SDE and a Backward doubly SDE.

$$\begin{cases} dy_t & = & \bar{b}(t, y_t, u_t)dt + \sigma(t, y_t, u_t)dW(t), \\ y_0 & = & b, \\ -dx_t & = & \bar{f}(t, x_t, z_t, y_{t,} u_t)dt + \bar{g}(t, x_t, z_t, y_{t,} u_t)dW_t - z_t dB, \\ x_0 & = & \xi, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $b \in R^k$ is given, $x_0 = \xi \in K_1, a.s$ where $K_1$ is a given nonempty convex subset in $R^n$.

Set the mappings

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{b}: &\quad \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times d} \to R^k,\\
\sigma: &\quad \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times d} \to R^{k\times d},\\
\bar{f}: &\quad \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times l} \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times d} \to \mathbb{R}^n,\\
\bar{g}: &\quad \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times l} \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times d} \to \mathbb{R}^{n\times d}.
\end{aligned}$$

In this case, we regard $u(\cdot)$ and $\xi$ as the control variables. Define the following cost function:

$$J(\xi, u(\cdot)) = E[\int_0^T \bar{l}(t, x(t), z(t), y(t), u(t))dt + \chi(\xi) + \lambda(y(T)) + \phi(x(T))],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{l}: &\quad \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times l} \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times d} \to R,\\
\chi: &\quad \mathbb{R}^n \to R,\\
\lambda: &\quad \mathbb{R}^k \to R,\\
\phi: &\quad \mathbb{R}^n \to R.
\end{aligned}$$

We assume:

(H1):$\bar{b}, \sigma, \bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{l}, \chi, \lambda$ and $\phi$ are continuous in their arguments and continuously differentiable in $(x, z, y, u)$;

(H2)

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\bar{f}(t, x_1, z_1, y_1, q_1, u_1) - (t, x_2, z_2, y_1, q_1, u_2)|^2\\
\leq\ & C(|x_1 - x_2|^2 + ||z_1 - z_2||^2 + |y_1 - y_2|^2 + ||q_1 - q_2||^2 + ||u_1 - u_2||^2),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&||\bar{g}(t, x_1, z_1, y_1, q_1, u_1) - \bar{f}(t, x_2, z_2, y_1, q_1, u_2)||^2\\
\leq\ & C(|x_1 - x_2|^2 + |y_1 - y_2|^2 + ||u_1 - u_2||^2) + \alpha(||z_1 - z_2||^2 + ||q_1 - q_2||^2).
\end{aligned}$$

(H3) The derivatives of $\bar{b}, \sigma, \bar{f}, \bar{g}$ in $(x, y, z, u)$ are bounded; the derivatives of $\bar{l}$ in $(x, y, z, u)$ are bounded by $C(1 + |x| + |y| + |z| + ||u||)$; the derivatives of $\chi$ and $\phi$ in $x$ are bounded by $C(1 + |x|)$; the derivatives of $\lambda$ in $y$ are bounded by $C(1 + |y|)$.

Then, for given $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbf{P}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u(\cdot) \in U[0,T]$, there exists a unique triple

$$(x(\cdot), y(\cdot), z(\cdot)) \in M^2(0,T; R^n) \times M^2(0,T; R^k) \times M^2(0,T; R^{d\times k})$$

which solves (3.1).

We assume an additional terminal state constraint $y_T = \eta \in K_2$, *a.s.*, where $K_2$ is a given nonempty convex subset in $R^k$. Our stochastic control problem is

$$\begin{array}{c} \inf \quad J(\xi, u(\cdot)) \\ \text{subject to } u(\cdot) \in U[0,T];\ \xi \in K_1, a.s,\ \eta \in K_2,\ a.s. \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

**Backward formulation** From now on, we give an equivalent backward formulation of the above stochastic optimal problem (3.2). To do so we need an additional assumption:

(H4) there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $|\sigma(y, u_1, t) - \sigma(y, u_2, t)| \geq \alpha |u_1 - u_2|$ for all $y \in R^k, t \in [0, T]$ and $u_1,\ u_2 \in R^{d\times n}$.

Note (H1) and (H4) imply the mapping

$$u \to \sigma(y, u, t)$$

is a bijection from $R^{d\times n}$ on to itself for any $(y, t)$.

Let $q \equiv \sigma(y, u, t)$ and denote the inverse function by $u = \tilde{\sigma}(y, q, t)$. Then system (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} -dy_t &= f(y_t, q_t, t)dt - q(t)dW(t), \\ y_0 &= b, \\ -dx_t &= F(t, x_t, z_t, y_{t,} q_t)dt + G(t, x_t, z_t, y_{t,} q_t)dW_t - z_t dB_t, \\ x_0 &= \xi, \end{cases}$$

where $f(y, q, t) = -b(y, \tilde{\sigma}(y, q, t), t)$ and $F(x_t, z_t, y_{t,} q_t.t) = \bar{f}(x_t, z_t, y_{t,} \tilde{\sigma}(y, q, t).t)$, $G(x_t, z_t, y_{t,} q_t.t) = \bar{g}(x_t, z_t, y_{t,} \tilde{\sigma}(y, q, t).t)$.

A key observation that inspires our approach of solving problem (3.2) is that, since $u \to \sigma(x, u, t)$ is a bijection, $q(\cdot)$ can be regarded as the control; moreover, by the BSDE theory selecting $q(\cdot)$ is equivalent to selecting the terminal value $y_T$. Hence we introduce the following "controlled" system:

$$\begin{cases} -dy_t &= f(t, y_t, q_t)dt - q(t)dW(t), \\ y_T &= \eta, \\ -dx_t &= F(t, x_t, z_t, y_{t,} q_t)dt + G(t, x_t, z_t, y_{t,} q_t)dW_t - z_t dB_t, \\ x_0 &= \xi, \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

where the control variables are the random variables $\xi$ and $\eta$ to be chosen from the following set

$$U=\{(\xi,\eta)|\xi\in K_1,a.s.E|\xi|^2<\infty,\eta\in K_2,a.s.E|\eta|^2<\infty.\}.$$

For each $(\xi,\eta)\in U$, consider the following cost

$$J(\xi,\eta)=E[\int_0^T l(t,x_t,z_t,y_{t,}q_t)dt+\chi(\xi)+\lambda(\eta)+\phi(x(T))],$$

where $l(x,z,y,q,t)=\bar{l}(x,z,y,\tilde{\sigma}(y,q,t),t)$.

This gives rise to the following auxiliary optimization problem:

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \inf\limits_{(\xi,\eta)\in U} J(\xi,\eta) \\ \text{subject to} & (\xi,\eta)\in U;\ y_0^{(\xi,\eta)}=b. \end{array} \tag{3.4}$$

where $y_0^{(\xi,\eta)}$ is the solution of (3.3) at time 0 under $\xi$ and $\eta$.

It is clear that the original problem (3.2) is equivalent to the auxiliary one (3.4).

Hence, hereafter we focus ourselves on solving (3.4). The advantage of doing this is that, since $\xi$ and $\eta$ now are the control variable, the state constraint in (3.2) becomes a control constraint in (3.4), whereas it is well known in control theory that a control constraint is much easier to deal with than a state constraint. There is, nonetheless, a cost of doing so is that the original initial condition $y_0^{(\xi,\eta)}=b$ now becomes a constraint, as shown in (3.4).

From now on, we denote the solution of (3.3) by $(x^{(\xi,\eta)}(\cdot),y^{(\xi,\eta)}(\cdot),q^{(\xi,\eta)}(\cdot),z^{(\xi,\eta)}(\cdot))$, whenever necessary, to show the dependence on $(\xi,\eta)$. We also denote $x^{(\xi,\eta)}(0)$ and $y^{(\xi,\eta)}(0)$ by $x_0^{(\xi,\eta)}$ and $y_0^{(\xi,\eta)}$ respectively. Finally, it is easy to check that $f,F,G$ and $l$ satisfy similar conditions in Assumptions (H1)$-$(H3).

We note that this is an special case of 2.4, so by the same method we have the following result:

**Stochastic Maximum Principle** We define

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} dn(t) &=& (F_y^*(t)m(t)+G_y^*(t)p(t)+f_y^*(t)n(t)+l_y^*(t))dt \\ && +(F_q^*(t)m(t)+G_q^*(t)p(t)+f_q^*(t)n(t)+l_q^*(t))dW_t, \\ n(0) &=& h_2, \\ -dm(t) &=& -(F_x^*(t)m(t)+G_x^*(t)p(t)+l_x^*(t))dt \\ && +(F_z^*(t)m(t)+G_z^*(t)p(t)+l_z^*(t))dB_t - p_t dW_t, \\ m(T) &=& -h_1\phi_x(x_T^*), \end{array}\right. \tag{3.5}$$

where $H_k^*(t) = H_k(t, x^*(t), z^*(t), y^*(t), q^*(t))$ $(H = F, G, l)$ and $f_x^*(t) = f_x(t, x^*(t), z^*(t))$, $f_q^*(t) = f_q(t, x^*(t), z^*(t))$ , $h_1$ and $h_2$ are defined as in (2.10). It is easy to check that there exist unique processes $n(\cdot)$ and $(m(\cdot), p(t))$ which solve the above equations.

**Theorem 3.8.** *We assume (H1)∼(H4). Let* $(\xi^*, \eta^*)$ *be optimal to (3.4) and* $(x^*(\cdot), y^*(\cdot), z^{\ *}(\cdot), q^{\ *}(\cdot))$ *be the corresponding optimal trajectory. Then for arbitrary* $(\xi, \eta) \in U$, *we have the following inequalities hold*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} < m(0) - \chi_x(\xi^*), \xi - \xi^*) &\leq& 0, \\ < n(T) + \lambda_y(\eta^*), \eta - \eta^* > &\geq& 0. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.6}$$

## § 3.2 System composed of a BDSDE with state constraints

Although this case describes a controlled BDSDE system with state constraints. But it seems trivial. Thus, we only give a brief illustration. Given $\eta \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbf{P};\mathbb{R}^k)$ and $u(\cdot) \in U[0, T]$, consider the following BDSDE.

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} -dy_t &=& f(t, y_t, q_t, u_t)dt + g(t, y_t, q_t, u_t)dB_t - q_t dW_t, 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ y_T &=& \eta. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.7}$$

For given $u(\cdot) \in U[0, T]$ and $f, g$ satisfying (H2) and (H3), from the theorem 1.1 in [17], it is easy to check that there exists a unique solution $(y(\cdot), q(\cdot))$ of (3.7).

Note that $y_0^{(\eta,u(\cdot))}$ is a $\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^B$-measurable variable, and

$$E(h(y_0^{(\eta,u(\cdot))})) = b.$$

Now we regard $\eta$ and $u(\cdot)$ as the control variables to be chosen from the following set

$$U = \{(\eta, u(\cdot)) | \eta \in K_1, a.s., E[|\eta|^2] < \infty, u(\cdot) \in U[0,T]\}.$$

For each $(\eta, u(\cdot)) \in U$, consider the following cost function

$$J(\eta, u(\cdot)) = E[\int_0^T l(y(t), q(t), u(t), t)dt + \lambda(\eta) + \gamma(y(0))],$$

which gives rise to the following optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \inf\limits_{(\eta,u(\cdot))\in U} J(\eta, u(\cdot)) \\ \text{subject to} & E(h(y_0^{(\eta,u(\cdot))})) = b. \end{array} \tag{3.8}$$

**Maximum principle** Let

$$H(y,q,u,n,\delta) \triangleq < f(t,y,q,u), n_t > + < g(t,y,q,u), \delta(t) > + l(t,y,q,u).$$

Then the adjoint equation is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} dn(t) & = & (f_y^*(t)n(t) + g_y^*(t)\delta(t) + l_y^*(t))dt - \delta(t)dB_t + (f_q^*(t)n(t) \\ & & + g_q^*(t)\delta(t) + l_q^*(t))dW_t, \\ n(0) & = & (h_2 h_y(y^*(0)) + h_0\gamma_y(y^*(0)), \end{array} \right. \tag{3.9}$$

where $H_k^*(t) = H_k(t, y^*(t), q^*(t))$ for $H = f, g$ ; $k = y, q$.

**Theorem 3.9.** *We assume (H1)∼(H3). Let* $\eta^*$ *and* $u^*(t)$ *be the optimal controls and* $(y^*(\cdot), z^*(\cdot))$ *be the corresponding optimal trajectory. Then we have*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} < n(T) + \lambda_y(\eta^*), \eta - \eta^* > & \geq & 0, \\ < H_u(t, y^*, q^*, u^*, n, \delta), u(t) - u^*(t) > & \geq & 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{3.10}$$

## § 3.3 Backward doubly stochastic LQ problem without state constraints

Consider the following linear system:

$$\begin{cases} -dx_t &= [A(t)x_t + B(t)z_t + C(t)y_t + D(t)q_t + E(t)u_t]dt \\ & \quad +[A'(t)x_t + B'(t)z_t + C'(t)y_t + D'(t)q_t + E'(t)u_t]dW_t \\ & \quad -z_t dB_t, \\ x_0 &= x, \\ -dy_t &= [A''(t)x_t + B''(t)z_t + C''(t)y_t + D''(t)q_t + E''(t)u_t]dt \\ & \quad +[A'''(t)x_t + B'''(t)z_t + C'''(t)y_t + D'''(t)q_t + E'''(t)u_t]dB_t \\ & \quad -q_t dW_t, \\ y_T &= y, \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

where $x$ and $y$ are given constants and $H$, $H'$, $H''$, $H'''$ ($H = A$, $B$, $C$, $D$ and $E$) are corresponding matrixes.

The cost function (2.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} l(t, x(t), z(t), y(t), q(t), u(t)) &= \tfrac{1}{2}F(t)x(t)\cdot x(t) + \tfrac{1}{2}G(t)z(t)\cdot z(t) \\ & \quad +\tfrac{1}{2}H(t)y(t)\cdot y(t) + \tfrac{1}{2}I(t)q(t)\cdot q(t) + \tfrac{1}{2}J(t)u(t)\cdot u(t), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(x) &= 0, \\ \lambda(y) &= 0, \\ \phi(x) &= \tfrac{1}{2}U(t)x^2, \\ \gamma(y) &= \tfrac{1}{2}Q(t)y^2, \end{aligned}$$

where all function of $t$ are bounded and $F(t), G(t), H(t), I(t)$ are symmetric non-negative define, $J(t), U(t), Q(t)$ are symmetric uniformly positive definite.

Then from (2.14), the adjoint equations become

$$\begin{cases} -dm(t) &= -[A(t)m(t) + A'(t)p(t) + A''(t)n(t) + A'''(t)\delta(t) + F(t)x^*(t)]dt \\ & \quad +[B(t)m(t) + B'(t)p(t) + B''(t)n(t) + B'''(t)\delta(t) + G(t)z^*(t)]dB_t - p(t)dW_t, \\ m(T) &= -U(T)x^*(T), \\ dn(t) &= [C(t)m(t) + C'(t)p(t) + C''(t)n(t) + C'''(t)\delta(t) + H(t)y^*(t)]dt \\ & \quad +[D(t)m(t) + D'(t)p(t) + D''(t)n(t) + D'''(t)\delta(t) + I(t)q^*(t)]dW_t - \delta(t)dB_t, \\ n(0) &= Q(0)y^*(0). \end{cases}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
& H(x,z,y,q,u,m,p,n,\delta) \\
\triangleq\ & < F(t,x,z,y,q,u), m_t > + < G(t,x,z,y,q,u), p(t) > \\
& + < f(t,x,z,y,q,u), n(t) > + < g(t,x,z,y,q,u), \delta(t) > \\
& + l(t,x,z,y,q,u)
\end{aligned}$$

We have the following result

$$H_u(t,x^*,z^*,y^*,q^*,u^*,m,p,n,\delta) = E(t)m(t)+E'(t)p(t)+E''(t)n(t)+E'''(t)\delta(t)+J(t)u(t) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$u^*(t) = -J^{-1}(t)[E(t)m(t) + E'(t)p(t) + E''(t)n(t) + E'''(t)\delta(t)].$$

In fact the obtained optimal control $u^*$ is not only necessary but also sufficient.

**Theorem 3.10.** *The funtion* $u^*(t) = -J^{-1}(t)[E(t)m(t)+E'(t)p(t)+E''(t)n(t)+E'''(t)\delta(t)]$ *is the unique optimal control for backward doubly stochastic LQ problems, where* $(x^*(\cdot), z^{\ *}(\cdot), y^*(\cdot), q^{\ *}(\cdot))$ *and* $(m(\cdot), p(\cdot), n(\cdot), \delta(\cdot))$ *are solutions of above equations.*

*Proof.* (1) We prove that $u^*(t)$ is the optimal control. $\forall v(\cdot) \in R^{n\times d}$, let $(x^v(\cdot), z^v(\cdot), y^v(\cdot), q^v(\cdot))$ be the corresponding trajectory of (3.1). We get

$$\begin{aligned}
& J(v(\cdot)) - J(u^*(\cdot)) \\
= \ & \tfrac{1}{2}\{\int_0^T [< F(t)x^v(t), x^v(t) > - < F(t)x^*(t), x^*(t) > + < G(t)z^v(t), z^v(t) > - < G(t)z^*(t), z^*(t) > \\
& + < H(t)y^v(t), y^v(t) > - < H(t)y^*(t), y^*(t) > + < I(t)q^v(t), q^v(t) > - < I(t)q^*(t), q^*(t) > \\
& + < J(t)v(t), v(t) > - < J(t)u^*(t), u^*(t) > ]dt + < U(T)x^v(T), x^v(T) > - < U(T)x^*(T), x^*(T) > \\
& + < Q(0)y^v(0), y^v(0) > - < Q(0)y^*(0), y^*(0) > \} \\
\geq \ & E\{\int_0^T [< F(t)x^*(t), x^v(t) - x^*(t) > + < G(t)z^*(t), z^v(t) - z^*(t) > + < H(t)y^*(t), y^v(t) - y^*(t) > \\
& + < I(t)q^*(t), q^v(t) - q^*(t) > + < J(t)u^*(t), v(t) - u^*(t) > ]dt + < U(T)x^*(T), x^v(T) - x^*(T) > \\
& + < Q(0)y^*(0), y^v(0) - y^*(0) > \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using lemma 2.1 to $< x^v(t) - x^*(t), m(t) > + < y^v(t) - y^*(t), n(t) >$ , we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& < U(T)x^*(T), x^v(T) - x^*(T) > + < Q(0)y^*(0), y^v(0) - y^*(0) > \\
= \; & -E\int_0^T [< F(t)x^*(t), x^v(t) - x^*(t) > + < G(t)z^*(t), z^v(t) - z^*(t) > + < H(t)y^*(t), y^v(t) - y^*(t) > \\
& + < I(t)q^*(t), q^v(t) - q^*(t) >< J(t)u^*(t), v(t) - u^*(t) > + < E(t)m(t), v(t) - u^*(t) > \\
& + < E'(t)p(t), v(t) - u^*(t) > + < E''(t)n(t), v(t) - u^*(t) > + < E'''(t)\delta(t), v(t) - u^*(t) >]dt.
\end{aligned}
$$

So by the definition of $u^*(t)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J(v(\cdot)) - J(u^*(\cdot)) \\
\geq \; & < E(t)m(t), v(t) - u^*(t) > + < E'(t)p(t), v(t) - u^*(t) > + < E''(t)n(t), v(t) - u^*(t) > \\
& + < E'''(t)\delta(t), v(t) - u^*(t) > + < J(t)u^*(t), v(t) - u^*(t) >]dt \\
= \; & 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $u^*(t)$ is the optimal control.

(2) Assume that $u^1(\cdot)$ and $u^2(\cdot)$ are both optimal controls, and the corresponding trajectories are $(x^1(\cdot), z^{\ 1}(\cdot), y^1(\cdot), q^{\ 1}(\cdot))$ and $(x^2(\cdot), z^{\ 2}(\cdot), y^2(\cdot), q^{\ 2}(\cdot))$. By the uniqueness of solutions of (3.11), we know that the trajectory corresponding to $\frac{u^1(\cdot)+u^2(\cdot)}{2}$ is $(\frac{x^1(\cdot)+x^2(\cdot)}{2}, \frac{z^{\ 1}(\cdot)+z^2(\cdot)}{2}, \frac{y^1(\cdot)+y^2(\cdot)}{2}, \frac{q^{\ 1}(\cdot)+q^2(\cdot)}{2})$. Since $J(t), U(t), Q(t)$ are positive and $F(t), G(t), H(t), I(t)$ are non-negative, we have

$$J(u^1(\cdot)) = J(u^2(\cdot)) = \alpha \geq 0$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\alpha &= J(u^1(\cdot)) + J(u^2(\cdot)) \\
&\geq 2J(\tfrac{u^1(\cdot)+u^2(\cdot)}{2}) + 2E\int_0^T < J(t)\tfrac{u^1(\cdot)-u^2(\cdot)}{2}, \tfrac{u^1(\cdot)-u^2(\cdot)}{2} > dt \\
&\geq 2\alpha + \tfrac{\beta}{2}E\int_0^T |u^1(\cdot) - u^2(\cdot)|^2 dt
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta > 0$. So

$$E\int_0^T |u^1(\cdot) - u^2(\cdot)|^2 dt \leq 0$$

which shows that $u^1(\cdot) = u^2(\cdot)$. $\square$

**Example:** Consider the following backward doubly stochastic LQ problem, where $u(\cdot) \in R$ and $n = k = d = l = 1$.

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} -dx_t &=& \frac{1}{2}(z_t + u_t)dW_t - z_t dB_t, \\ x_0 &=& 0, \\ -dy_t &=& \frac{1}{2}(q_t - u_t)dB_t - q_t dW_t, \\ y_T &=& 0. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.12}$$

The cost function is

$$J(u(\cdot)) = E\int_0^T \frac{1}{2}x^2(t) + \frac{1}{2}y^2(t) + \frac{1}{2}(t+1)q^2(t) + \frac{1}{6}(T+2)u^2(t)dt + \frac{1}{2}E[x^2(T)] + \frac{1}{2}E[y^2(0)].$$

From (3.12), we get

$$x_t = \int_0^t \frac{1}{2}(z_s + u_s)dW_s - \int_0^t z_s dB_s, y_t = \int_t^T \frac{1}{2}(q_s - u_s)dB_s - q_s dW_s.$$

By substituting $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ into the cost function, we obtain

$$J(u(\cdot)) = E\int_0^T \frac{1}{8}(t+1)(q^2(t) - u^2(t)) + \frac{3}{8}(T-t+1)(z^2(t) - \frac{1}{3}u^2(t)) + \frac{1}{6}(t+1)u^2(t)dt.$$

Thus, the optimal control is $u^*(t) \equiv 0$ with the optimal state trajectory $(x^*(t), z^*(t), y^*(t), q^*(t)) \equiv 0$. The adjoint equations are

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} -dm_t &=& \frac{1}{2}p_t dB_t - p_t dW_t, \\ m_T &=& 0, \\ dn_t &=& \frac{1}{2}\delta_t dW_t - \delta_t dB_t, \\ n_0 &=& 0. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.13}$$

It is obvious that $(m(t), p(t)) = (0, 0)$ and $(n(t), \delta(t)) = (0, 0)$ are the unique solutions of the above equations.

Moreover, the Hamiltonian is

$$H(t, x^*, z^*, y^*, q^*, u^*, m, p, n, \delta) = \frac{1}{6}(T+2)u^2(t).$$

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Prof. Shige Peng for some useful conversations.

## References

[1] F. Antonelli, *Backward-forward stochastic differential equations*, Ann. Appl. Probab., 3 (1993), pp. 777-793.

[2] A. Bensoussan, *Stochastic Control by Functional Analysis Methods*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.

[3] T. R.Bielecki, H. Jin, S. R.Pliska and X. Y.Zhou, *Continuous time variance portfolio selection with bankruptcy probihition*, Math.Finance., 15 (2005), pp. 213-244.

[4] L. Ekeland, *On the variational principle*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 47 (1974), pp. 324-353.

[5] N. El Karoui, S. Peng and M.C. Quenez, *Backward stochastic differential equations in finance*, Math. Finance., 7 (1997), pp.1–71.

[6] N. El Karoui, S. Peng and M.-C. Quenez, *A dynamic maximum principle for the optimization of recursive utilities under constraints*, Ann. Appl. Probab., 11 (2001), pp. 664–693.

[7] Y. Han, S. Peng and Z. Wu, *Maximum principle for backward doublye stochastic control systems with applications*, Preprint, 2009.

[8] Y. Hu and S. Peng, *Solution of forward-backward stochastic differential equations*, Probab. Theory Related Fields., 103 (1995), pp. 273-283.

[9] S. Ji, *Dual method for continuous-time Markowitz's problems with nonlinear wealth equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 366 (2010), pp. 90–100.

[10] S. Ji and S. Peng, *Terminal perturbation method for the backward approach to continuous-time mean-variance portfolio selection*, Stochastic Process. Appl., 118 (2008) pp. 952–967.

[11] S. Ji and X. Zhou, *A maximum principle for stochastic optimal control with terminal state constraints, and its applications*, Commun. Inf. Syst., 6 (2006), pp. 321–337 (a special issue dedicated to Tyrone Duncan on the occasion of his 65th birthday).

[12] S. Ji and X. Zhou, *A generalized Neyman–Pearson lemma for g-probabilities*, Probab. Theory Related Fields., (2010), doi:10.1007/s00440-009-0244-4, in press.

[13] J. Ma, P. Protter and J. Yong, *Solving forward-backward stochastic differential equations explicitly-a four step scheme*, Probab. Theory Related Fields 98 (1994) 339-359.

[14] J. Ma and J. Yong, *Forward-Backward Stochastic Differential Equations and Their Applications*, M. Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1702, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[15] D. Nualart and E. Pardoux, *Stochastic calculus with anticipating integrands*, J. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields., 78 (1998), pp. 535-581.

[16] E. Pardoux and S. Peng, *Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation*, J. Systems Control Lett. 14 (1990), pp. 55–61.

[17] E. Pardoux and S. Peng, *Backward doubly stochastic differential equations and systems of quasi-linear SPDEs*, [J]. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields., 98 (1994), pp. 209-227.

[18] S. Peng and Y. Shi, *A type of time-symmetric forward-backward stochastic differential equations*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser., I336 (2003), pp. 773-778.

[19] J. Yong, *Finding adapted solutions of forward-backward stochastic differential equations-method of continuation*, Probab. Theory Related Fields., 107(1997), pp. 537-572.

[20] J. Yong, *Forward backward stochastic differential equations with mixed initial and terminal condition*, Trans AMS, 362 (2010), pp. 1047-1096.

[21] J. Yong, *Optimality Variational Principle for Controlled Forward-Backward Stochastic Differential Equations with Mixed Initial-Terminal Conditions*, Preprint, 2010.

[22] J. Yong and X. Zhou, *Stochastic Controls Hamiltonian Systems and HJB Equations*, Springer, New York, 1999.